

# Fire Station Building Committee Meeting

Wednesday, March 1, 2023

## Meeting Minutes

Date: March 1, 2023

Time: 4:30 PM

Location: Virtual - <https://meet.goto.com/762316717>

1. Meeting Called to Order – 16:34
2. Roll Call  
Present- J. Jovan (Chair), J. Hulyk, J. Szugda, M. Julian, J. Mathieu, Excused- D. Langevin Absent – M. Langevin, Others- C. Blanchard, J. Mangiagli, R. Pomroy, P. Normandin.
3. Vote to approve Minutes of February 15<sup>th</sup>, 2023. Motion by J. Mathieu, second by J. Hulyk. J. Szugda abstained, unanimous of others present.
4. Vote to approve minutes of February 16<sup>th</sup>, 2023. Motion by J. Szugda, second by J. Hulyk. J. Mathieu, and M. Julian abstained, unanimous of others present.
5. J. Mangiagli opened with an update including the traffic study that included no surprises and also did not require a signal. The geotechnical study indicated no ledge, yet found the need to remove and replace almost 6,000 yards of fill. It was indicated that more test pits might be necessary to possibly reduce the amount of material needed. R. Pomroy suggested that the test pits could be done during demo of the Worcester St. property. C. Blanchard asked the cost of the material and Pomroy stated he did not want to guess at this point. J. Mangiagli continued with early indications that the properties could not be combine with the fields to alleviate setback issues due to potential deed restrictions. Variances will likely be needed for setbacks and impervious cover. R. Pomroy said we should continue to seek the combination of the properties. Jovan stated more information from the planner was needed. J. Mangiagli also stated an easement may be needed for the public parking entrance. It was suggested that another meeting be set up with Eric Rumsey and possibly Peg Dean.
6. J. Mangiagli presented nearly forty value engineering options for potential cost savings. Nearly all were to be considered with few exceptions, yet no dollar figures accompanied the options. These potential savings will be provided at a later date. Options ranged from exterior finishes and hardscaping materials to HVAC systems and structural components. The possible need for a bi-directional amplifier was discussed. R. Pomroy did not recommend the use of low energy fire alarm cable. He continued stating he would review the site environmental phase II when available. WB Mason was interested in pooling orders with other projects but timing and storage become an issue. In preparing for the demo of 129 Worcester St it was discussed that there would be a minimal disturbance to the site at this point. Kaestle Boos Invoice 21041.00.6 for \$78,375.00 and Pomroy Associates Invoice SBFS-006 for \$9,680.00 were presented for payment. **Motion**

by J. Szugda, seconded by J. Mathieu to approve both invoices for payment. **Vote**  
-Unanimous of those present.

7. **Motion-** to Adjourn made by J. Szugda at 18:20.
  - a. Second- M. Julian
  - b. **Vote- Unanimous** of those present.
  - c. Next meeting scheduled for March 15th, 2023.